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Erythropoietin (Epo) is a glycoprotein hormone that promotes the production of red blood cells. Recombinant human Epo (rhEpo) is illicitly used to improve performance in endurance sports. Doping in sports is discouraged by the screening of athletes for rhEPO in urine. The adopted test is based on a combination of isoelectric focusing and double immunoblotting, and distinguishes between endogenous and recombinant human Epo. We show here that this widely used test can occasionally lead to the false-positive detection of rhEpo (epoetin-β) in postexercise, protein-rich urine, probably because the adopted monoclonal anti-Epo antibodies are not monospecific. (Blood. 2006;107: 4711-4713)
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(1 hour), were analyzed for the presence of Epo. Neither of the samples were positive for endogenous Epo or Darbepoetin-α, but the 0-hours sample clearly contained bands that migrated like epoetin-β isoforms during isoelectric focusing (Figure 1A). In contrast, the 1-hour sample did not show any signal at these positions. Since recombinant human Epo has a half-life of more than 8 hours,1 this was a first indication that the bands detected at 0 hours could not be explained by the presence of epoetin-β.

To obtain additional information on the nature of the detected signals in the 0-hours sample, we performed an immunoblotting after sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE; Figure 1B), using the same anti-Epo antibodies. This immunoblotting visualized a major band of 42 kDa which had, however, a higher mass than that detected for the epoetin-β isoforms (32 kDa-39 kDa). The distinct migration was confirmed in a mixing experiment where epoetin-β was added to the 0-hours sample, resulting in the visualization of 2 distinct bands. Furthermore, the removal of N-linked carbohydrates by a preincubation with N-glycosidase F decreased the apparent mass of epoetin-β isoforms, from between 32 kDa and 39 kDa to 18 kDa, as detected by immunoblotting, but such a treatment did not cause a shift in the mass of the detected band in a postexercise urine sample (Figure 1C). The lack of an effect of N-glycosidase F in the latter case cannot be due to an inhibition of N-glycosidase F by urine components since an 18-kDa band was generated when epoetin-β was added to this urine sample before the glycosidase treatment. Thus, immunoblotting before and after a glycosidase treatment confirmed that the major urinary protein that was visualized with the anti-Epo antibodies was not Epo.

Immunoblotting on more concentrated urine samples yielded, in addition to a band of 42 kDa, bands of 48 kDa, 93 kDa, and 125 kDa, although the latter 2 were only detected in 3 of 4 tested postexercise urine samples from the athlete (Figure 2). Importantly, none of these bands were detected in the absence of the primary antibodies, showing that they did not result from the interaction of urine proteins with the secondary antibodies. It should also be pointed out that the immunoblots shown in Figure 1B-C and Figure 2 were obtained with urine samples that were less concentrated than those that are routinely used for Epo-tests which leads, if anything, to an underestimation of the problem of nonspecificity of the anti-Epo antibodies. In any case, our data clearly show that the monoclonal anti-Epo antibodies (clone AE7A5) visualize multiple polypeptides during immunoblotting of protein-rich urine samples. Some of these proteins may have a similar isoelectric point as the epoetin-β isoforms, which possibly accounts for the false-positive detection of epoetin-β.

The athlete that we tested was only false-positive for epoetin-β in 2 of 7 postexercise urine samples (not illustrated). We also want to point out that the false-positive detection of epoetin-β may be restricted to (very) few athletes, as it may be linked to the extent and type of proteinuria. The extent of proteinuria correlates more with the intensity than the duration of exercise and has a half-time decay of about 1 hour. The athlete we tested showed a mixed glomerular-tubular proteinuria, which is characterized by a broad spectrum of urinary proteins. Some of these proteins show some structural homology with epoetin-β,16 which possibly accounts for their cross-reactivity with the anti-Epo antibodies. In a WADA report, the possible existence of such analytic interferences was already predicted.17 The false-positive detection of epoetin-β may be prevented by sampling before or at least 1 hour after exercise, which is particularly important for athletes who present with pronounced exercise-induced proteinuria. Additional tests can be performed to identify false-positive test results, such as 2-dimensional electrophoresis,15 deglycosylation assays (as described in this study), or indirect assays.18
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Retraction

We wish to retract the article “Macrophage inflammatory protein-1α and interferon-inducible protein 10 inhibit synergistically induced growth factor stimulation of MAP kinase activity and suppress phosphorylation of eukaryotic initiation factor 4E and 4E binding protein 1,” which appeared in the May 15, 1997, issue of Blood (Volume 89:3582-3595), and was coauthored by Susan M. Aronica, Anne-Claude Ginras, Nahum Sonenberg, Scott Cooper, Nancy Hague, and Hal E. Broxmeyer. The work was mainly performed in Dr Broxmeyer’s laboratory.

We apologize for the time it has taken us to retract this paper and for any inconvenience or problems this may have caused others in the field, but the contents of the paper and the first author of the paper have been the subject of a detailed investigation, first by the Indiana University School of Medicine, at Indiana University-Purdue University at Indianapolis, and then by the Division of Investigative Oversight, Office of Research Integrity, Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS). On February 5, 2006, the DHHS reached closure on a case of scientific misconduct against the first author of the paper, Dr Susan M. Aronica. The findings of scientific misconduct based on this investigation included falsifications in Figures 1 (top and bottom panels), 3A, 3B, 3D, 3E, 4A, and 8A.

This letter of retraction is signed by all authors except Dr Aronica and Nancy Hague. Dr Susan M. Aronica requested the retraction but elected not to sign this retraction. Ms Hague passed away a number of years ago.
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