DECISION OF THE SOUTH AFRICAN INSTITUTE FOR DRUG-FREE SPORT ANTI-DOPING DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE

In the dis	ciplinary	hearing	of
------------	-----------	---------	----

GREGORY TSHEPO NKOANA

LEGISLATIVE & LEGAL BACKGROUND / FRAMEWORK

- The South African Institute for Drug-Free Sport, "SAIDS", is a corporate body established under section 2 of the South African Institute for Drug-Free Sport, Act 14 of 1997, as amended, "the Act".
- The main objective which SAIDS has is to promote and support the elimination of doping practices in sport which are contrary to the principles of fair play and medical ethics in the interests of the health and well being of sportspersons.
- 3. On 25 November 2005 **SAIDS**, formally accepted the World Anti-Doping Code, "the Code", which the World Anti-Doping Agency, "WADA", had adopted on 5 March 2003.
- 4. By doing this SAIDS, as the National Anti-Doping Organisation for South Africa, introduced anti-doping rules and principles governing participation in sport under the jurisdiction of SASCOC, the South African Sports Confederation and Olympic Committee, or any national sports federation.
- The Anti-Doping Rules 2009, as published by SAIDS, ("the Rules"), which are applicable to the present proceedings, incorporate the mandatory provisions of the Code as well as the remaining provisions adapted by SAIDS in conformance with the Code.
- Wheelchair Basketball South Africa, "WBSA", as the national federation governing the sport of wheelchair basketball in South Africa, has adopted and implemented SAIDS anti-doping policies and rules which conform to the Code, as amended and the Rules.

PANEL CONSTITUTION

7. This SAIDS Anti-Doping Disciplinary Committee hearing panel, consisting of John Bush - Chairperson and Legal Representative, Dr Sello Motaung - Medical Practitioner and Professor Yoga Coopoo - Sports Administrator, ("the Panel") was appointed by SAIDS in accordance with the provisions of Article 8 of the Rules, to adjudicate whether the athlete Gregory Tshepo Nkoana ("Nkoana") had committed an anti-doping rule violation in accordance with the Rules and if so what the consequences should be.

CHARGE RELATING TO ANTI-DOPING VIOLATION

8. The charge against **Nkoana** is contained in a letter which was addressed and couriered to him on 31 October 2011. (A copy of the letter is - Exhibit A.)

The relevant portion of the letter relating to the charge reads as follows:

"You have been charged with an anti-doping rule violation in terms of article 2.1 of the 2009 Anti-Doping Rules of the South African Institute for Drug-Free Sport (SAIDS).

On the 13 August 2011 you provided a urine sample (A2532381) during an in-competition test. Upon analysis, the South African Doping Control Laboratory at the University of Free State reported the presence of a prohibited substance in your urine sample.

The substance identified was 11-nor-delta 9 tetrahydocannabinol-9- carboxylic acid (the concentration is about 170ng/ml which is above the World Anti Doping Agency decision limit of 18ng/ml), a metabolite of Cannabis. Cannabis is categorised under Class S8. Cannabinoids on the World Anti-Doping Code 2011 Prohibited List International Standard.

- 9. Article 2.1 of the Rules reads as follows:
 - "2.1 Presence of a Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers in an Athlete's Sample.
 - 2.1.1 It is each Athlete's personal duty to ensure that no Prohibited Substance enters his or her body. Athletes are responsible for any Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers found to be present in their Samples. Accordingly it is not necessary that intent, fault, negligence, or knowing Use on the Athlete's part be demonstrated in order to establish an anti-doping violation under Article 2.1.

PROCEEDINGS

- 10. The prosecutor for SAIDS in this matter was Mr Nic Kock, "Kock".
- 11. The hearing began at 17h45 on 22 November 2011 with the self introduction of all those present at the hearing. Nkoana was represented by Marcus Retief, "Retief", his team coach, who advised that although English was not Nkoana's mother tongue Nkoana was comfortable with the hearing being conducted in English. He advised that Nkoana would be calling his mother Kedibone Celia Nkoana and Janice Ramsay, his team manager, as witnesses.
- 12. Charles Saunders, CEO of and representing WBSA was present throughout the proceedings. He made a valuable contribution in providing advice concerning WBSA's commitment to anti-doping control measures, education and related activities.
- 13. Ms Surprise Mbatha took minutes of the proceedings, which were also recorded.
- 14. The Chairman outlined the procedure relating to the hearing.
- 15. Kock read the charge, as contained in the letter dated 31 October 2011 addressed to and received by Nkoana. He asked whether there was anything in the letter which was disputed. Retief advised that nothing was in issue as he accepted Kock's suggestion that everything was common cause. This letter was accepted into evidence as Exhibit A.

- 16. The remaining documents which were in the hearing pack relating to the charge were then identified by Kock and accepted by Retief on Nkoana's behalf without any objection, as the following exhibits
 - Exhibit B Letter SAIDS to **Nkoana** dated 27 August 2011, containing notification of adverse analytical finding, invitation for "B" sample analysis and provisional suspension;
 - Exhibit C University of the Orange Free State: Report on A-Sample Analysis 2532381 dated 5 September 2011
 - Exhibit D Doping Control Form 43628 Test Mission Code 163/11 dated 12 July 2011
 - Exhibit E Chain of Custody Form dated 17 Auguts 2011 with seal numbers
 - Exhibit F Correspondence exchanged between SAIDS and Nkoana, being the e-mails dated 27, 28 and 30 September, as well as 11, 12, 17 and 31 October 2011

Kock then dealt with Nkoana's waiver of his right to have his "B" sample analysed.

ANTI-DOPING RULE VIOLATION - PROSECUTION'S SUBMISSIONS

17. **Kock** advised that the because of the adverse analytical finding and athlete's being responsible for what was found in their bodies the transgression under the **Rules** had been established and the matter of sanction then needed to be considered.

EVIDENCE IN MITIGATION OF MANDATORY SANCTION

- 18. At this point in the proceedings **Retief** advised that **Nkoana** admitted he was guilty and would lead evidence in mitigation of sanction through
 - his mother (Mrs Kedibone Celia Nkoana) as to why the substance was taken
 - Mrs Ramsay as to his character
- 19. In assisting Nkoana and not to "trip him up", Kock posed some initial questions to him. In answering these Nkoana provided testimony which revealed that he had
 - participated in wheelchair basketball for 4-5 years
 - played no other sports
 - played at national team level
 - been educated about anti-doping by his club. This was about two years back when after one of his team members had been found with a banned substance, which he thought was also dagga
 - been told along with the rest of the team that as professionals in the league not to use marijuana/dagga
 - · been tested before.... in 2010, with the results negative
 - · not smoked dagga or ingested it in any other way other than as the medicine
 - no knowledge that there was dagga in the medication he had taken
 - taken the medicine which his mother gave him during winter. This was every night for flu over the years that his mother had been a Sangoma, with this being her 11th year
 - found out about the dagga when Janice (Ramsay) called him to tell him
 - a "sore heart" when told that his flu remedy had dagga in it as he didn't believe it

- asked his mother who confirmed that the medicine included dagga
- not previously used any illegal substances
- not participated in any sport following his suspension.

20. Charles Saunders advised that WBSA

- ✓ was tough on the athletes and even levied fines
- ✓ made sure that they were not allowed to participate whilst under suspension. This also
 affected the club, which knew the procedure and could really not afford such suspension
- ✓ was extremely strict, making sure that in the education process everyone knew that doping would not be tolerated
- √ had serious concerns within the under 23s, where, apart from reasons for use related to disability, the coming of age rites, which included circumcision resulted in many players using dagga, with 6/7 players being suspended after being tested for use
- provided support for the rehabilitation of players following testing, as well as working through the schools and no matter what workshops were done the problem persisted.

21. Retief advised that

- . Nkoana was not aware of what was in the concoction his mother gave him
- doping would have been out of character for someone tapping at the national team
- this would have been stupid thing to do
- he had a bad time after being suspended with constant calls to check when he could come back
- it was Nkoana's responsibility to know what he consumed
- he had accepted full responsibility for his actions and although this did not excuse him he hoped the Panel would be lenient on Nkoana
- the very high levels of dagga -"setting an all time high" in the words of the Prosecutor were related to the preparation of the muti (medicine) and not smoke ingestion
- 22. Retief then called upon Nkoana's mother to lead evidence on how the substance entered Nkoana's body.
- 23. In direct testimony Mrs Nkoana stated that she
 - was very sorry to everyone for this
 - gave her son Tshepo (Nkoana) a flu remedy
 - included garlic, honey, dagga and some herbs
 - > did not tell her patients what she put in her medicine
 - > had given this medicine to him for a month
 - > practiced as a traditional healer (Sangoma) for 10-11 years
 - gave him the same muti that she gave to all her patients
- 24. In response to questions from Dr Motaung, Nkoana stated that
 - he took the muti for flu on a daily basis every winter
 - was previously tested in May 2010
 - he was shaking as it was very cold
- 25. In replying to Professor Coopoo's questions Nkoana said that
 - he took the same medication when he was tested late in May 2010

- > he did not take any other medication
- the medication which he mentioned on the doping control form, being the suppository, was for piles
- > took supplements prescribed by the team doctor at national team camps.
- 26. Further questions posed to both Mrs Nkoana and Nkoana, as well as general statements made by the Panel members and Mr Saunders revealed that
 - Mrs Nkoana boiled up a quantity of dagga in the muti as a cold/flu remedy
 - as a traditional healer she did not and would not tell her clients, even her son, what was in the muti
 - > she would not give it to him again next winter
 - there was a lack of awareness about the effects of dagga among athletes especially the youth which
 - o restricts performance
 - o constipates
 - o stays in the system
 - o was not good for sport
 - o slows down the athlete
 - its use within the passage to manhood and acceptance culturally needed to be dealt with through education
 - > youngsters do not have much of a choice as it is used widely
 - > the brew could energise the athlete
- 27. Nkoana added furthermore that the overall effect of the muti was such that
 - > his nose would be unblocked
 - his coughing stopped
 - > he would take it when he got home by taxi shivering cold after training at the Carlton Centre
 - > he played the same in summer or winter
 - > there was no difference in his performance
- 28. Mrs Ramsay then testified that
 - > she was the manager of the Discovery Eagles basketball team for 3 years
 - she had known Nkoana or "KB" (Kabelo), who referred to her affectionately as "Mom", for between 4 to 5 years,
 - Nkoana was
 - o part of the squad
 - selected as vice-captain of the team
 - passionate about basketball
 - required to travel to practice Monday to Thursday from 7pm-10pm which involved getting home at 1-2am
 - o missed 5 practices in 3 years -through sickness, work shifts or transport
 - playing and trying his 'level best' to get into the national squad
 - 'knocking on the door" for the Paralympics
 - unlikely to destroy his 'career' dream and his intentions by taking dagga
- 29. Nkoana thereafter said he was sorry for taking the stuff (dagga).
- 30. In his concluding remarks Charles Saunders pleaded for the creating of greater awareness about anti-doping. He stated that although WBSA had signed players' contracts in place SAIDS could help with

- more booklets needed to be distributed to the players who did not have access to pc's and the web
- workshops, supported by the medical commission, which provided information and discussion as to what is going on to coaches, management and players
- 31. He also drew the Panel's attention to the fact that the paralysis which wheelchair athletes suffered from resulted in spasms requiring medication. Although not medically interested in taking more drugs, this was indeed a reality in disabled sport for prevention rather than cure.

It was also pointed out that although there were Therapeutic Use Exemptions (TUEs – catered for under the Rules) and the possibility of working with WADA, studies have not shown consistent experiences.

This concluded the leading of evidence / testimony, comment and discussion concerning the mitigation of the applicable sanction

PROSECUTION - PROPOSED SANCTION

- 32. In his closing remarks, before dealing with the Prosecution's recommended sanction, Kock complimented all persons present at the hearing in support of Nkoana, especially WBSA as the national federation, concerning too, the work which it had also done with regard to creating (anti-doping)awareness. He recommended that WBSA work through Mr Fahmy Galant at SAIDS for the booklets and available information in dealing with the educational component of WBSAs further requirements.
- 33. **Kock** went on to alert **Nkoana** to the reality that if three persons in a team had tested positive the result would be nullified with consequences for the team.
- As regards sanction Kock's submission was that because Nkoana had satisfactorily established, on a balance of probability
 - √ how the prohibited and specified substance had entered his body and had corroborating evidence as regards this;
 - ✓ that the prohibited substance and specified substance was not intended to enhance his
 sport performance;
 - ✓ that this violation was his first,
 - a 3 (three) month period of ineligibility from 27 September 2011 to 27 December 2011 be imposed upon Nkoana.
- 35. He also warned **Nkoana** to be extra careful of what he took into his body as a second offence could result in a sanction of up to 4 (four) years ineligibility

PANEL DECISION & REASONS

- 36. After a short adjournment for deliberation by the **Panel** members the hearing was re-convened for the **Panel** decision to be delivered by the Chairperson.
- 37. The Panel having accepted that Nkoana's violation of Article 2.1 of the Rules had not only been proven by the SAIDS prosecution, but also admitted by Nkoana, needed only to consider and decide upon
 - 37.1 an appropriate sanction in accordance with Articles 10.1 and 10.2 of the Rules;
 - 37.2 whether once this was determined there was any basis for any possible elimination or reduction of any period of ineligibility which might be imposed upon Nkoana, under Articles 10.4 of the Rules, which provided for a reprimand as the minimum and two year period of ineligibility as the maximum sanction for a first anti-doping violation involving a specified substance under specific circumstances.
- 38. The Chairperson delivered the **Panel's** decision on sanction, which was reached with due regard to the circumstances, **Nkoana's** conduct, the cultural and other societal norms and imperatives relating to the use of cannabis / dagga / marijuana, as well as its effect on enhancing sport performance and all the other evidence led in mitigation of sanction, as it

imposed a 2 (two) month period of ineligibility on Nkoana, running from 27 September 2011 - coinciding from the date of notification of the adverse analytical finding - to 27 November 2011.

John Bush Dr Sello Motaung Prof Yoga Coopoo

Chairman Member Member

13 March 2012