



GUVERNUL ROMÂNIEI

AGENȚIA NAȚIONALĂ ANTI-DOPING

DECISION

No. 3/ 11.01.2011

The *Hearing Commission for athletes and their support personnel who violated the anti-doping rules*, summoned on 11.01.2011, 10:00 H, at the National Anti-Doping Agency official seat in Bucharest, 37 – 39 Basarabia Blvd., sector 2, regarding the hearing of the athlete **FLORESCU ANDREI**, registered to **Steaua Bucharest Sport Club**, residing in Bucharest, **10 Glicinelor Street, Bl. M52, Sc. A, Appt. 5, Bucharest, District 5** establishes:

Following the out of competition doping control on 06.12.2010, conducted on rugby sport discipline in Bucharest, the analytical result of the sample of the athlete **FLORESCU ANDREI** with the code number **1952517A**, indicated the presence of the substances: metelonone, 1-methylen-5 α -androstane-3 α -ol-17-one, 3'-hydroxystanozolol and 4 β -hydroxystanozolol. The presence of metabolite 1-methylen-5 α -androstane-3 α -ol-17-one indicates the use of the prohibited substance Metenolone. The presence of metabolites 3'-hydroxystanozolol and 4 β - hydroxystanozolol indicate the use of the prohibited substance Stanozolol.

All these prohibited substances are included in the class S1.1.a of the 2010 Prohibited List (Exogenous Androgenic Anabolic Steroids).

The *Hearing Commission for athletes and their support personnel who violated the anti-doping rules* gathered on 11.01.2011 where the athlete admitted he used the prohibited substances which were found in his urine sample. Also he stated that he did not want the analysis of the B sample.

The *Hearing Commission for athletes and their support personnel who violated the anti-doping rules* reviewed the documents within the file, namely the

analysis bulletin no. 749/23.12.2010 of Bucharest Doping Control Laboratory and the athlete's statement as of 11.01.2011 admitting that he used the prohibited substances, taking into account the status of the prohibited substances which were found in the athlete's sample, and in compliance with the legal provisions on national and international level:

DECIDES

The ineligibility of the athlete **FLORESCU ANDREI**, registered to **Steaua Bucharest Sport Club** pursuant to the provisions of art.36 of Law 227/2006 regarding prevention and fight against doping in sport republished, because the presence of metenolone, 1-methylen-5 α -androstan-3 α -ol-17-one, 3'-hydroxystanozolol and 4 β -hydroxystanozolol *is sanctioned with 2 years ineligibility for the first violation, except for the cases when the provisions of Article 37, Article 46 and Article 47 are applicable.*

Taking into account that the athlete admitted the use of this prohibited substances, he violated art.2 paragraph (2) letter a. of the above-mentioned law.

The ineligibility period begins to run from the date of the current decision.

Pursuant to the provisions of art. 43 of the mentioned law, during the ineligibility period, the athlete has the obligation to submit his whereabouts information to the Agency. Aiming to reintegrate in sport activity, during the ineligibility period, the athlete has to undergo to four no advance notice doping testing, one of them at the moment when the sport activity is started again. When the athlete firstly retires from sport activity during the ineligibility period and then he requests to be reintegrated in sport activity, he won't be reintegrated until he informs the Agency and no advance notice doping testing is performed during a period of time equal to the ineligibility period remained when he retired.

The decision may be appealed to the Appeal Commission beside National Anti-Doping Agency in 21 days since the notification.

The decision shall be communicated to the athlete, **Steaua Bucharest Sport Club**, Romanian Rugby Federation, National Authority for Youth and Sport, Romanian Olympic and Sport Committee, International Ruby Board and World Anti-Doping Agency.

Romanian Rugby Federation has the obligation to apply the provisions of the current decision and to comply with the legal provisions in force pursuant to the provisions of article 65, paragraph (1) of Law 227/2006 republished.

Pursuant to the provisions of art.34, paragraph (4) of Law 227/2006 regarding the prevention and fight against doping in sport, republished, the above-mentioned institutions are required to maintain confidentiality of the information communicated until the public disclosure of the case.

**CHAIRPERSON OF THE HEARING COMMISSION FOR ATHLETES AND
THEIR SUPPORT PERSONNEL, WHO VIOLATED THE ANTI-DOPING
RULES,**

GRAZIELA ELENA VÂJIALĂ