



GUVERNUL ROMÂNIEI

AGENȚIA NAȚIONALĂ ANTI-DOPING

HEARING COMMISSION FOR ATHLETES AND THEIR SUPPORT PERSONNEL WHO VIOLATED THE ANTI-DOPING RULES

To
Lenart Raul
Olimpiacom Cluj Sport Club.
Romanian Weightlifting Federation
National Authority for Youth and Sport
International Weightlifting Federation
Romanian Olympic and Sport Committee
World Anti-Doping Agency

Bucharest, 21.11.2011

Regarding the case of **National Anti-Doping Agency** *versus* the athlete **Lenart Raul**, registered to Olimpiacom Cluj Sport Club, sport discipline weightlifting

DECISION

No. 20/ 21.11.2011

Issued by

**HEARING COMMISSION FOR ATHLETES AND THEIR SUPPORT
PERSONNEL WHO VIOLATED THE ANTI-DOPING RULES**

With the following structure:

Chair

Graziela Elena VÂJIALĂ

Members:

Eugen COIFAN

Gabriela ANDREIAȘU

Dan Gabriel NICHITA

Tudor COSTIN

Marius MARIN

Alexandru ARTEM

Elena DUMITRAȘCU

Ioan DOBRESCU

Cristian DIMA

Secretary of Hearing Commission: **Gabriela ANDREIAȘU**

Summoned on 21.11.2011, 10:00 H, at the National Anti-Doping Agency official seat in Bucharest, 37 – 39 Basarabia Bvd., sector 2, regarding the hearing of the athlete **Lenart Raul**, registered to **Olimpiacom Cluj Sport Club.**, residing in Cluj Napoca, 98 Orasului Street establishes:

Following the doping control on 07.10.2011 on the occasion of National Weightlifting Championship, conducted in Onesti, the analytical result of the sample of the above-mentioned athlete with the code number **1976136A**, indicated the presence of the prohibited substances: metabolites of **Oxymesterone**: 2-hydroxymethylene-17 α -methyl-5 α -androstane-3 α ,4z,17 β -diol and 17 α -methyl-5 α -androstane-3 α ,17 β -diol. All these substances are included in the class S1.1.a of the Prohibited List (Exogenous Anabolic Androgenic Steroids).

The *Hearing Commission for athletes and their support personnel who violated the anti-doping rules* summoned on 21.11..2011 where the athlete was not present despite the fact he had been legally notified, but he sent a written declaration where he admitted that he used the prohibited substances found in the urine sample. He also did not want the analysis of the B sample.

The *Hearing Commission for athletes and their support personnel who violated the anti-doping rules* reviewed the documents within the file, namely the analysis bulletin no. 751/21.11.2011 of Bucharest Doping Control Laboratory, the athlete's statement admitting the use of the prohibited substances found in his biological sample and that the athlete did not want the analysis of the B sample, taking into account the legal provisions on national and international level:

DECIDES

The ineligibility of the athlete **LENART RAUL**, registered to **Olimpiacom Cluj Sport Club**, for a period of two (2) years, pursuant to the provisions of art. 38 of Law 227/2006 regarding prevention and fight against doping in sport republished, with further amendments, as the athlete violated the provisions of article 2, paragraph (2), letter a) and b) of the mentioned law.

The ineligibility period begins to run from the date of the current decision.

Pursuant to the provisions of art.45 of the mentioned law, during the ineligibility period, the athlete has the obligation to submit his whereabouts information to the Agency. Aiming to reintegrate in sport activity, during the ineligibility period, the athlete has to undergo to four no advance notice doping testing, one of them at the moment when the sport activity is started again. When the athlete firstly retires from sport activity during the ineligibility period and then he requests to be reintegrated in sport activity, he won't be reintegrated until he informs the Agency and no advance notice doping testing is performed during a period of time equal to the ineligibility period remained when he retired.

The decision may be appealed by the athlete to the Appeal Commission besides National Anti-Doping Agency in 21 days since the notification, while the decision becomes mandatory after the expiration of the mentioned period.

Romanian Rugby Federation has the obligation to apply the provisions of the current decision and to comply with the legal provisions in force pursuant to the provisions of the article 37 and article 71 of the Law 227/2006.

Pursuant to the provisions of art.36, paragraph (4) of Law 227/2006 regarding prevention and fight against doping in sport, republished, with further amendments, the above-mentioned institutions are required to maintain confidentiality of the information communicated until the public disclosure of the case.

CHAIR OF HEARING COMMISSION

GRAZIELA ELENA VÂJIALĂ