

What do IFs look for in a NADO Partner iNADO Workshop 13 March 2016





- IGF & our Anti-Doping Programme
- IGF-NADO collaboration:
 - our approach
 - current situation
 - moving forward
 - what we have learnt is important





IGF Anti-Doping Programme: context

- IGF
 - \geq 145 National Federation Members from 140 countries and 25 Professional Members
 - Anti-Doping Committee meet at least quarterly \geq

International Events under IGF jurisdiction: ۲

- Olympic Games (IOC-IGF)
- Youth Olympic Games (IOC-IGF) .
- World Amateur Team Championship ٠
- The Amateur Championship ٠
- The Boys Amateur Championship ٠
- International European Ladies Amateur Championship •
- International European Amateur Championship ٠
- US Ladies Amateur Championship ٠
- US Amateur Championship
- The Asia-Pacific Amateur Championship





- Golf is a **new sport** on the Olympic Programme and the IGF a new player in the field
- Worked closely with **WADA and IOC** in the development of the IGF Anti-Doping programme for Rio 2016
- IGF RTP:
 - 6 May 21 August 2016
 - Entire Olympic Field: 120+ professional golfers tested at least once
- Interested to understand how best to work with NADOs and coordinate testing programmes





IGF – NADO collaboration: our approach

- Engagement with the NADOs
 - ➢ IGF/IOC letter & WADA letter September 2015
 - Communicate our programme (jurisdiction, RTP, TUEs etc)
 - Make personal contact and understand the national programmes
 - Conference calls & meeting at WADA Symposium





IGF – NADO collaboration: current situation

- To date:
 - > Emphasis on **coordination**
 - Work towards **no duplication** of efforts and transparency
 - > NADOs receptive to **sharing** information on programmes
 - > NADO assistance in IGF testing programme
- > Challenges:
 - > **Overlap** of national programmes and IGF programme
 - Conflicting information for athletes new to the Games (eg RTP dates)





IGF – NADO collaboration: moving foward

- Work towards:
 - Continuing the conversation after initial contact
 - Sharing information on RTP, Testing Programmes...
 - Sharing intelligence

... with the aim of:

- Putting the athletes first
- Avoiding duplication of efforts
- Optimising resources for efficient and effective testing





IGF – NADO collaboration: what we have learnt is important

- > Mutual **respect & understanding** of respective programmes
- > Foster engagement through **transparency and communication** of information
- Collaboration & coordination rather than duplication
- > Engaging athletes through **clear messaging** (education)
- Create a partnership for effective & efficient testing in the fight against doping





Thank you for your attention. Any questions?

