

## **Estonian Anti-Doping Disciplinary Panel – Summary of the Resolution**

*The resolution has been compiled following the structure of the Results Management Guidelines Template I – Reasoned Decision. First three parts are summarised.*

The Estonian Anti-Doping Disciplinary Panel consisting of Margus Mugu (chairman), Marek Kaleta, Siim Schneider and Jarek Mäestu met on December, 16, 2015 in Tallinn to review the case of violation of anti-doping rules in relation to the adverse analytical finding returned in the in-competition doping sample given by [the Athlete] (hereinafter also referred to as the Athlete) on [...], 2015. The meeting was attended by the Executive Director of the Estonian Anti-Doping Agency Elina Kivinukk and the representative of the Estonian Football Association, Mihkel Uiboleht.

### **4. Procedural issues and plea**

- 4.1 The Athlete did not request B-Sample Testing.
- 4.2 The Athlete did not explain in any way, how the prohibited substance had entered his body.
- 4.3 The Athlete did not participate in the meeting.

### **5. Evidence**

- 5.1 Estonian Anti-Doping Agency presented:
  - 5.1.1 Doping Control form, indicating that testing took place on [...].
  - 5.1.2 The A-Sample report from November 12<sup>th</sup>, indicating:
    - S6. Stimulants / methylenedioxymethamphetamine and its metabolite methylenedioxyamfetamine and
    - S8. Cannabinoids/Carboxy-THC greater than the Decision Limit of 180 ng/ml. The mean concentration measured was 203 ng/ml.
- 5.2 The Athlete did not give any explanation.

### **6. Assessment of evidence**

Presence of the prohibited substance has been sufficiently proved according to the World Anti-Doping Code Article 2.1.2, where the Athlete's A-sample indicated the following substance: S6. Stimulants / methylenedioxymethamphetamine and its metabolite methylenedioxyamfetamine and S8. Cannabinoids/Carboxy-THC greater than the Decision Limit of 180 ng/ml. The mean concentration measured was 203 ng/ml.

### **7. Resolution**

- 7.1 For the reasons outlined above, the Athlete has violated the Code rule 2.1.
- 7.2 The Athlete did not give any explanation.
- 7.3 Therefore the Panel has determined that the Athlete is guilty of the offences outlined above.
- 7.4 No other aggravating or mitigating circumstances were found in the case.

### **10. Based on the above, the Estonian Anti-Doping Disciplinary Panel decides:**

- 10.1 To impose an ineligibility period of two (2) years, starting from December 16<sup>th</sup>, 2015 and lasting until December 15<sup>th</sup>, 2017 on [the Athlete] (ID [...]) on the basis of Article 10.2.2 of the Code.