

Estonian Anti-Doping Disciplinary Panel – Summary of the Resolution

The resolution has been compiled following the structure of the Results Management Guidelines Template I – Reasoned Decision. First three parts are summarised.

The Estonian Anti-Doping Disciplinary Panel consisting of Margus Mugu (chairman), Marek Kaleta, Siim Schneider and Jarek Mäestu met on December, 16, 2015 in Tallinn to review the case of violation of anti-doping rules in relation to the adverse analytical finding returned in the in-competition doping sample given by [the Athlete] (hereinafter also the Athlete) on [...], 2015. The meeting was attended by the Executive Director of the Estonian Anti-Doping Agency Elina Kivinukk and the representative of the Estonian Football Association, Mihkel Uibolet.

4. Procedural issues and plea

- 4.1 The Athlete did not request B-Sample Testing.
- 4.2 The Athlete did not explain in any way, how the prohibited substance had entered his body.
- 4.3 The Athlete did not participate in the meeting.

5. Evidence

- 5.1 Estonian Anti-Doping Agency presented:
 - 5.1.1 Doping Control form, indicating that testing took place on [...].
 - 5.1.2 The A-Sample report from November 12th, indicating S6. Stimulants / methylenedioxymethamphetamine and its metabolite methylenedioxyamphetamine.
- 5.2 The Athlete did not give any explanation.

6. Assessment of evidence

Presence of the prohibited substance has been sufficiently proved according to the World Anti-Doping Code Article 2.1.2, where the Athlete's A-sample indicated the following substance: S6. Stimulants / methylenedioxymethamphetamine and its metabolite methylenedioxyamphetamine

7. Resolution

- 7.1 For the reasons outlined above, the Athlete has violated the Code rule 2.1.
- 7.2 The Athlete did not give any explanation.
- 7.3 Therefore the Panel has determined that the Athlete is guilty of the offences outlined above.
- 7.4 No other aggravating or mitigating circumstances were found in the case.

10. Based on the above, the Estonian Anti-Doping Disciplinary Panel decides:

- 10.1 To impose an ineligibility period of two (2) years, starting from December 16th, 2015 and lasting until December 15th, 2017 on [...] (ID [...]) on the basis of Article 10.2.2 of the Code.