# Estonian Anti-Doping Disciplinary Panel – Summary of the Resolution

The resolution has been compiled following the structure of the Results Management Guidelines Template I – Reasoned Decision. First three parts are summarised.

The Estonian Anti-Doping Disciplinary Panel consisting of Margus Mugu (chairman), Marek Kaleta, Siim Schneider and Toomas Tõnise met on November 5, 2015 in Tallinn to review the case of violation of anti-doping rules in relation to the adverse analytical finding (tamoxifen) returned in the out-of-competition doping sample given by [...] on [...] , 2015. The meeting was attended by [the Athlete] (hereinafter also referred to as the Athlete) and the Executive Director of the Estonian Anti-Doping Agency Elina Kivinukk.

# 4. Procedural issues and plea

- 4.1 The Athlete requested B-Sample Testing.
- 4.2 The Athlete could not explain how the prohibited substance had entered his body. He assumed that it could have happened while sharing the sports drinks bottles with his practice companions.

## 5. Evidence

- 5.1 Estonian Anti-Doping Agency presented:
  - 5.1.1 Doping Control form, indicating that testing took place on [...].
- 5.1.2 The A-Sample report from September 18<sup>th</sup>, indicating S4. Hormone and Metabolic Modulators / tamoxifen metabolite hydroxy-methoxy-tamoxifen.
- 5.1.3 The B-Sample report from October 7<sup>th</sup>, indicating S4. Hormone and Metabolic Modulators / tamoxifen metabolite hydroxy-methoxy-tamoxifen.
- 5.2 The Athlete did not bring any evidence.

# 6. Assessment of evidence

Presence of the prohibited substance has been sufficiently proved according to the World Anti-Doping Code Article 2.1.2, where both the Athlete's A- and B-samples indicated the following substance: S4. Hormone and Metabolic Modulators / tamoxifen metabolite hydroxy-methoxy-tamoxifen.

## 7. Resolution

- 7.1 For the reasons outlined above, the Athlete has violated the Code rule 2.1.
- 7.2 The Athlete could not explain how the prohibited substance had entered his body. He assumed that it could have happened while sharing the sports drinks bottles with his practice companions.
- 7.3 Therefore the Panel has determined that the Athlete is guilty of the offences outlined above.
- 7.4 There are no aggravating or mitigating circumstances found in the case.

## 10. Based on the above, the Estonian Anti-Doping Disciplinary Panel decides:

10.1 To impose an ineligibility period of two (2) years, starting from November 5<sup>th</sup>, 2015 and lasting until November 4<sup>th</sup>, 2017 on [the Athlete] (ID [...]) on the basis of Article 10.2.2 of the Code. 10.2 To annul all results obtained by [the Athlete] in competition from [...] 015 on the basis of Article 10.8 of the World Anti-Doping Code, with all resulting Consequences.