

The Influence of Age of Onset and Acute Anabolic Steroid Exposure on Cognitive Performance, Impulsivity, and Aggression in Men

Tom Hildebrandt

Ichan School of Medicine at Mount Sinai

James W. Langenbucher

Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey

Adrienne Flores

Ichan School of Medicine at Mount Sinai

Seth Harty

University of Pittsburgh Medical Center

Heather A. Berlin

Ichan School of Medicine at Mount Sinai

A growing translational literature suggests that adolescent exposure to anabolic-androgenic steroids (AASs) leads to increased aggression and impulsivity. However, little is known about the cognitive effects of AASs among AAS users or the differences between adolescent- and adult-onset users. This study provides a test of the effects of acute naturalistic AAS use and age of onset (adolescent vs. adult) on measures of inhibitory control, planning and attention, and decision making. Seventy-one active adult male AAS users completed self-report measures of impulsivity and aggression, and a subsample (11 adolescent onset vs. 11 adult onset) matched on current age were administered 4 computerized tests from the *Cambridge Neuropsychological Test Automated Battery (CANTAB)* (Cambridge Cognition, 2002) and the Iowa Gambling Task (Stanton, Liening, & Schultheiss, 2011). Multiple regression analyses and a series of 2 (adolescent vs. adult) \times 2 (on-cycle vs. off-cycle) analyses of variance (ANOVAs) were used to examine the differential effects of age of onset and acute drug use on cognition and behavior. Regression analyses revealed larger on-cycle effects for adolescent users than adult users. Subsample analyses indicated that on-cycle users performed less well on cognitive measures of inhibitory control and attention, but not on tests of planning or decision making. Adolescent onset was associated with greater impulsivity and more acute sensitivity to AAS effects on attention. These preliminary findings suggest the possibility that acute AAS use is associated with some differences in inhibitory control and impulsivity and to a lesser degree, aggression. These effects may be more potent for those initiating AAS use in adolescence.

Keywords: anabolic-androgenic steroids, inhibitory control, executive function, impulsivity, aggression

Developmental Risks of Anabolic Steroid Use

Anabolic-androgenic steroids (AASs) are a family of synthetic androgenic hormones used primarily by exercising men to improve athletic performance and physical appearance (Bahrke & Yesalis, 2004). The developmental trajectory of those who use these and other appearance- and performance-enhancing drugs (APEDs) is largely unknown. Although many of the acute psychiatric and

physical effects of AAS use appears to be transitory (Evans, 2004), these negative outcomes may also partially motivate long-term use (Hildebrandt, Langenbucher, Carr, Sanjuan, & Park, 2006). However, the developmental risks of AAS use remain largely undocumented and the existence of lasting psychiatric changes remains untested.

Large sample studies suggest that the majority of AAS use begins in adulthood (Hildebrandt, Langenbucher, Carr, & Sanjuan,

This article was published Online First May 19, 2014.

Tom Hildebrandt, Eating and Weight Disorders Program, Ichan School of Medicine at Mount Sinai; James W. Langenbucher, Center of Alcohol Studies, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey; Adrienne Flores, Department of Psychiatry, Ichan School of Medicine at Mount Sinai; Seth Harty, Department of Psychiatry, University of Pittsburgh Medical Center; Heather A. Berlin, Department of Psychiatry, Ichan School of Medicine at Mount Sinai.

This research was supported in part by Grants K23 DA024043 awarded to Dr. Hildebrandt and R03 DA022444 awarded to Drs. Hildebrandt and Langenbucher by the United States Department of Health & Human Services, National Institutes of Health, National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA). Tom Hildebrandt's role on this project was also supported by

Grant DA024043-02A1 from the NIDA, which had no further role in study design, data collection, analysis or interpretation of data, writing the manuscript, or the decision to submit the paper for publication. Drs. Hildebrandt, Berlin, and Langenbucher contributed to the study design, developed and wrote the protocol. Dr. Hildebrandt, Dr. Harty, and Ms. Flores contributed to the statistical analysis and the first draft of the manuscript. All remaining authors contributed revision and approved the final manuscript.

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Tom Hildebrandt, Eating and Weight Disorders Program, Ichan School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, One Gustave L. Levy Place, Box 1230, New York, NY 10029. E-mail: tom.hildebrandt@mssm.edu

2007; Perry, Lund, Deninger, Kutscher, & Schneider, 2005), with population estimates suggesting a prevalence of about 3% of the adult U.S. population (Centers for Disease Control & Prevention, 2004). Estimates among youth suggest 1–2% of male adolescents have used in the past 12 months (Johnston, O'Malley, Bachman, & Schulenberg, 2012). Rates of use are likely higher in non-U.S. locations, where AASs are less regulated (Galduroz, Noto, Nappo, & Carlini, 2005; Hakansson, Mickelsson, Wallin, & Berglund, 2012). The risk for developing AAS dependence is very high compared with other drugs of abuse, with drug dependence among current users estimated at about 30% (Kanayama, Hudson, & Pope, 2009). However, the construct validity of AAS dependence has been challenged (Hildebrandt, Lai et al., 2011; Kanayama, Brower, Wood, Hudson, & Pope, 2009) and is complicated by the lack of information about important developmental transitions in the course of AAS use.

Anabolic-Androgenic Steroid Intoxication

AASs are notable among drugs of abuse because they lack reliable acute interoceptive changes and the euphoria characteristic of drug reinforcement (Fingerhood, Sullivan, Testa, & Jasinski, 1997; Su et al., 1993). Rather, intoxication is more accurately defined by the changes in threshold for a cluster of impulsive or rewarding behaviors, including aggression, sexual behavior, exercise, and drug use (Hildebrandt, 2012). The increase in these behaviors has been documented in translational, observational, and experimental studies conducted in rodents (Oberlander & Henderson, 2012) and humans (Kanayama, Hudson, & Pope, 2010). Studies of AAS intoxication among experienced users remain absent in the literature.

State Versus Trait Effects of Anabolic Steroid Use

Evidence from the rodent literature suggests that exposure to high doses of AASs at developmentally critical periods can have lasting effects on brain development and behavioral disinhibition (Cunningham, Lumia, & McGinnis, 2012). Animals administered high doses of AASs during adolescence have experienced persistent changes in impulsive aggression even after a single exposure (Farrell & McGinnis, 2004), whereas this behavior in adult animals is less robust (Salas-Ramirez, Montalto, & Sisk, 2008) and experiences a rapid decay (McGinnis, Lumia, & Possidente, 2002). Adolescent AAS exposure may promote greater impulsivity and aggression than adult exposure. Specifically, it is plausible that adolescent exposure causes increases in trait levels of impulsivity and aggression. Adolescent AAS users report significantly higher levels of impulsive, aggressive, and risk-taking behavior than controls (Bahrke, Yesalis, Kopstein, & Stephens, 2000; Beaver, Vaughn, Delisi, & Wright, 2008; van den Berg, Neumark-Sztainer, Cafri, & Wall, 2007).

AAS Use and Cognitive Changes

A recent study by Kanayama, Kean, Hudson, and Pope (2012) found a positive relationship between level of AAS exposure and impairments in visuospatial memory, but not other cognitive measures. The severity of impairment was correlated with lifetime exposure to AAS and consistent with a model in which AASs

induced neurodegenerative effects in the forbrain (Pieretti et al., 2013). One outstanding question raised by this finding is whether cognitive changes are affected by cycle status and age of use onset. Hypogonadism, an endocrine state often occurring during post-cycle recovery (Tan & Scally, 2009), is associated with decline in cognitive performance over time (Matousek & Sherwin, 2010). Conversely, testosterone supplementation to aging eugonadal men is associated with improvement in cognitive function (Holland, Bandelow, & Hogervorst, 2011). Thus, age and cycle status may differentially affect cognition related to trait and state aggression and impulsivity.

Hypotheses

Building upon the experimental animal findings and observational studies in adolescents, we hypothesized that age of onset would be associated with greater impairments in cognitive functioning and particular measures associated with inhibitory control, compared with adult-onset AAS use. Significant experimental and observational research implicates acute AAS use in the increased risk for aggressive and impulsive behavior. Consequently, we hypothesized that acute AAS use would be associated with reduced performance on cognitive measures of inhibitory control, planning, and attention.

Method

Participants

Men from the original sample ($N = 71$) described by Hildebrandt, Langenbucher, Lai, Loeb, and Hollander (2011) were included in this study and a subset thereof ($n = 22$) was used to test hypotheses about cognition. We recruited current (on-cycle or plans to go on-cycle in the next year), experienced (>1 AAS cycle) AAS users primarily from local gyms and newspaper ads.

We recruited individuals based on-cycle status (on-cycle vs. off cycle) and age of first AAS exposure (<19 years old; >22 years old; see Table 1) and matched them on baseline demographics using a mean-matching algorithm for each group to protect the sample from biases introduced by current age or cohort differences in demographics. We did not sample individuals who began during college because of some uncertainty about the threshold definition of adolescence (Spear, 2013). Cycle status was verified by random sampling of urine analysis (five of 22 sampled) using gas chromatography and mass spectrometry (Anti-Doping Research, Inc., Los Angeles, CA). All five samples confirmed self-reported cycle status. All procedures were approved by the institutional review boards of the participating institutions.

Cognitive Testing

The Cambridge Neuropsychological Test Automated Battery (CANTAB; Cambridge Cognition, 2002; www.cantab.com) is a computerized neurocognitive testing battery and we used four tests to measure memory, learning, affective processing, motor speed, planning abilities and attention. Table 2 summarizes each task and the associated construct.

Table 1
 Summary of Demographic and Anthropometric Measures by Age of AAS Onset

Measure	Adolescent onset (<i>n</i> = 11)	Adult onset (<i>n</i> = 11)	<i>t</i>	<i>p</i>
Demographics				
Age	36.32 (4.51)	38.03 (5.95)	-.80	.22
BMI	27.37 (4.22)	28.59 (5.02)	-.62	.27
Body fat %	11.77% (6.05)	13.40% (7.10)	-.58	.28
Income	65,150 (38,234)	70,505 (45,500)	-.30	.38
% with at least college degree	72.72%	100%	1.93 ^a	.06
Marital status				
Single	54.54%	27.27%		
Divorced	9.09%	18.18%		
Separated	0.0%	9.09%		
Living as married	9.09%	18.18%		
Married	27.27%	27.27%		
Employment				
Full-time	36.36%	54.54%	2.9 ^b	.58
Part-time	45.45%	27.27%		
Student	9.09%	0.0%		
Retired	0.0%	9.09%		
Unemployed	9.09%	9.09%		
Disabled	0.0%	0.0%		
Race/ethnicity				
White	72.72%	81.81%	1.06 ^b	.79
African American or Black	9.09%	0.0%		
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0.0%	—		
Asian	9.09%	9.09%		
Hispanic or Latino	9.09%	9.09%		
Sexual orientation				
Primarily heterosexual	90.90%	81.81%	1.05 ^b	.59
Primarily homosexual	9.09%	9.09%		
Bisexual	0.0%	9.09%		

Note. Mean and standard deviation reported for continuous variables.

^a Z score. ^b Chi-square test statistic.

Questionnaires

Participants completed the Barratt Impulsiveness Scale, Version 11 (BIS-11; Patton, Stanford, & Barratt, 1995) as a measure of impulsivity, which has three subscales (attentional, motor, and nonplanning impulsiveness) and demonstrated good internal consistency in this sample ($\alpha = .79$ to $.83$). They also completed the Buss-Perry Aggression Questionnaire (BPAQ; Buss & Perry, 1992) as a measure of aggression (physical aggression, verbal aggression, anger, and hostility) and also demonstrated good internal consistency in this sample ($\alpha = .82$ to $.88$).

Clinical Interviews

As reported in Hildebrandt, Langenbucher et al., (2011), all clinical interviews were completed by trained research staff and co-rated by blind co-raters and reached high levels of interrater and test-retest reliability. The Structured Clinical Interview of Diagnosis (SCID-I; First, Spitzer, Gibbon, & Williams, 2007) was used to assess for AAS dependence and comorbid substance-use disorders (SUDs). The Appearance and Performance Enhancing Drug Use Schedule (APE-DUS), as described by Hildebrandt, Langenbucher et al., (2011), is a semistructured interview that includes 10 modules providing a comprehensive assessment of APED use and associated phenomena. For this subsample, interrater reliability was high for individual items and scales ranging from $\kappa = .94$ to 1.0 . One-week test-retest reliability for

these items ranged from $r = .91$ to $.97$ and for the age-of-onset item, $r = .97$.

Statistical Tests

Primary statistical analyses were conducted using *R* version 2.15. We used multiple regression models for self-report measures of impulsivity and aggression with $N = 71$ men available from the original sample. For these models, we used age of onset as a continuous predictor. A 2 (cycle status: on-cycle vs. off-cycle) \times 2 (onset age: adolescent onset vs. adult onset) factorial analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted, including main effects and interaction (Cycle Status \times Age of Onset). Data were screened for threats to ANOVA assumptions. After screening for the original model, AAS exposure (total number of cycles in weeks by average mg/week of AAS), SCID diagnosis of AAS dependence, SCID diagnosis of other SUD, and current stimulant use were tested as covariates in the models. None of these variables had a significant effect and were subsequently dropped to conserve power.

Results

Cognitive Testing

Figure 1 indicates that on-cycle AAS users had significantly more commission errors, but only for affective stimuli. On-cycle

Table 2
Summary of Cognitive Assessment Battery Tasks

Task	Construct	Description	Outcomes
Affective Go–Nogo (AGN; Murphy, Sahakian, Rubinsztein, Rogers, Robbins, & Paykel, 1999)	Behavioral disinhibition in emotional contexts	The tasks uses six modes. He/she is given a target category (i.e., positive, negative and neutral) and is asked to press the press pad when they see a word matching this category.	Outcome measures were response times (time taken to respond to each target), commission errors (responses to distractor stimuli).
Intra–Extra-Dimensional Set Shift (IED; Downs, Roberts, Sahakian, Evenden, Morris, & Robbins, 1989)	Attention and set shifting	At each block, two sets of stimuli are presented in simple or compound variations. Participants progress through the test by satisfying a predetermined set of criteria, and learn the correct answer through feedback provided by the computer after each response. After six correct responses the rules are changed.	Outcome measures were the number of test trials completed (minimum moves) and the recorded latency to the first move providing estimates of cognitive processing speed (initial planning time).
Stockings of Cambridge (SOC)	Spatial planning	The task presents participants with an upper and lower display of three colored balls. Participants are asked to replicate the patterns of colored balls presented in the upper display in the lower display.	Outcome variables include number of moves, number of correct trials, and response latency as measures of spatial planning and processing speed.
Stop-signal task (SST)	Behavioral inhibition	Participants are asked to click a button on the mouse pad in the direction of the arrows presented on the computer screen. Participants are then asked to continue to press the mouse pad when they see the arrows, however, when the stimuli is in conjunction with an auditory stimuli (a beep), participants must withhold (i.e., inhibit) their responses.	The outcome measures include distractor errors and reaction time.
Iowa Gambling Task (IGT; Bechara, Damasio, Damasio and Anderson, 1994)	Decision making	Participants are provided four decks of cards and “gamble” to earn a profit by turning one of four cards. Decks have been predetermined to be either “disadvantageous” (costing the most in the long run) or “advantageous” (resulting in an overall gain).	A positive net score indicates advantageous performance on the IGT and a negative score indicates poor decision-making performance.

AAS users also responded more quickly to happy and sad “nogo” signals than off-cycle users. Age of onset was not significantly associated with differences in commission errors ($\eta^2 = .079-.120$) or reaction time (RT; $\eta^2 = .126$ for happy; $\eta^2 = .187$ for sad). None of the interaction effects was significant, but effect sizes were moderate for affective commission errors ($\eta^2 = .122$ for happy; $\eta^2 = .127$ for sad). All other interaction effect sizes were small ($\eta^2 = .012-.051$).

Tables 3 and 4 summarize the group means and ANOVA results for the remaining cognitive performance measures. Results of the IED shift (stages complete), which measures attentional accuracy, indicated that on-cycle users successfully completed fewer stages, but this was not associated with more efficiency errors. The direction of cycle effects, however, was consistent with the general finding of less accurate planning. Adolescent-onset users had poorer planning efficiency (Stockings of Cambridge task), but not planning time. No other differences were observed.

Self-Report Impulsivity and Aggression

Table 5 summarizes regression models for self-reported impulsivity and aggression. For impulsivity, regression models explained between 40% and 67% of the variance. Interaction effects indicated that later onset AAS use was associated with more

nonplanning impulsivity on-cycle than off-cycle, but little effect was observed for early-onset AAS use. The opposite pattern was true for self-reported attentional and motor impulsivity. Regression models explained 10% to 29% of the variance in aggression. Significant effects of cycle status indicated generally more aggression, although this was only significant for hostility and verbal aggression. Earlier onset of AAS use was associated with more hostility, but not other types of aggression. Significant interactions for the anger and verbal aggression scales indicated greater aggression scores among adolescent-onset users when they were on-cycle. Findings for the subsample of 22 AAS users matched on current age supported this findings (data available from first author upon request).

Discussion

The pattern of results generally suggested that early-onset users were more impulsive, and demonstrated deficits in affective processing, behavioral inhibition, and planning, but not decision making. Group differences in impulsivity and cognition are consistent with translational research on AAS’s behavioral effects (Oberlander & Henderson, 2012). These data also implicate emotional triggers more explicitly in AAS intoxication and may be used to better understand individual differences in consequences of APED use. In particular, these data suggest that early-onset users may

Affective Go-NoGo




Figure 1. (A) Happy ($F = 6.6, p < .05, \eta^2 = .27$) and sad ($F = 6.8, p < .05, \eta^2 = .27$) commission errors significantly differed by cycle status. (B) Happy ($6.4, p < .05, \eta^2 = .26$) and sad ($F = 9.0, p < .01, \eta^2 = .33$) RTs differed by cycle status. (C) No significant differences in number of direction errors. (D) Mean RTs on stop signals significantly differed by cycle ($F = 6.7, p < .05, \eta^2 = .27$). No other significant effects were observed across measures; however, moderate effect sizes were observed for age of onset ($\eta^2 = .08-.19$) and Age of Onset \times Cycle Status interactions ($\eta^2 = .12-.13$) for commission errors.

have elevated risk for disinhibitory effects of AAS when triggered emotionally.

Cognitive Effects

Inhibitory control. The cycle effects of AAS on cognition are consistent with predictions of general brain arousal (Pfaff, 2006),

which operationalizes this arousal state by increased emotional reactivity, locomotion, and alertness and involves mechanisms mediated through androgen and estrogen receptors in the central nervous system (Garey et al., 2003). Increased RT to both positive and negative stimuli is suggestive of a cognitive state that is reactive broadly to emotional stimuli. Other studies using affective go-nogo methods have shown that shorter RTs and commission

Table 3
Summary of Cognitive Performance by Age of Onset and Cycle Status ($N = 22$)

Participant characteristics	Adolescent onset (<19)		Adult onset (>22)	
	On-cycle ($n = 6$)	Off-cycle ($n = 5$)	On-cycle ($n = 6$)	Off-cycle ($n = 5$)
Age of first AAS use	16.5 (0.72)	16.71 (1.12)	25.54 (1.97)	24.89 (2.10)
Lifetime AAS exposure*	224.67 (110.54)	208.8 (75.28)	201.50 (49.30)	188.40 (75.14)
Stimulant use	50.00%	40.00%	66.67%	60%
AAS dependence	16.67%	20.00%	16.67%	0%
Comorbid SUD	16.67%	20.00%	16.67%	20.00%
Cognitive performance				
IED (stages complete)	4.83 (1.17)	8.60 (1.95)	6.67 (1.03)	8.80 (2.17)
IED shift (errors)	36.00 (9.96)	31.60 (10.11)	30.17 (9.45)	32.00 (8.09)
SOC (min moves)	4.00 (1.10)	4.80 (1.48)	6.67 (1.37)	7.60 (1.14)
Initial planning time	11,483 (5,312)	12,670 (5,362)	12,618 (5,228)	12,929 (8,309)
IGT (advantageous-disadvantageous)	-3.17 (20.61)	0.6 (21.82)	2.83 (19.79)	2.6 (20.55)

Note. AAS = anabolic-androgenic steroids; SUD = substance-use disorder; IED = intra-extra-dimensional set shift; SOC = Stockings of Cambridge; IGT = Iowa Gambling Task. Mean (standard deviation). * = total number of weeks exposed to AASs.

Table 4
Summary of 2 (On-Cycle vs. Off-Cycle) × 2 (Adolescent vs. Adult Onset) Analyses of Variance Results (N = 22)

Participant characteristics	Age of onset			Cycle status			Interaction		
	F	p value	η ²	F	p value	η ²	F	p value	η ²
Age of first AAS use	159.46	<.001	0.90	0.41	0.53	0.02	10	0.74	0.01
Lifetime AAS exposure	0.38	0.54	0.02	0.17	0.69	0.01	.00	0.97	<0.01
Stimulant use ^a	0.73	0.39	—	0.21	0.69	—	0.01	0.93	—
AAS dependence ^a	0.00	1.0	—	0.01	0.95	—	0.00	0.99	—
Comorbid SUD ^a	0.00	1.0	—	0.00	1.0	—	0.00	1.0	—
Cognitive performance									
IED (stages complete)	2.20	0.16	0.11	18.51	< 0.001	0.50	1.42	0.25	0.07
IED shift (errors)	0.45	0.51	0.02	0.10	0.76	0.01	0.59	0.45	0.03
SOC (min moves)	25.01	<0.001	0.58	2.51	0.13	0.12	0.02	0.90	0.00
Initial planning time	0.07	0.79	0.00	0.08	0.78	0.01	0.03	0.87	0.00
IGT (advantageous–disadvantageous)	0.21	0.66	0.01	0.04	0.84	0.00	0.05	0.82	0.00

Note. AAS = anabolic-androgenic steroids; SUD = substance-use disorders; IED = intra-extra dimensional set shift; SOC = Stockings of Cambridge; IGT = Iowa Gambling Task. Mean (standard deviation).

^aChi-square statistic reported.

errors are consistent with affect congruent states or mood bias (Elliott, Rubinsztein, Sahakian, Dolan, 2002). The shorter RTs observed for stop signal task go and stop trials are also consistent with the brain arousal hypothesis suggesting an increased alertness and ability to attend and react quickly to relevant stimuli. This finding is unusual compared with other impulsive substance-abusing populations that have delayed RTs (e.g., Li, Luo, Yan, Bergquist, & Sinha, 2009).

The same disinhibitory effects found in the AGN were not evident in the SST, in which RTs were faster, but errors did not differ between groups. Differences in performance on these two tasks suggest that the disinhibitory effects of AAS intoxication are primarily a result of emotional processing. When distractors were neutral, on-cycle AAS users responded more quickly and at a similar rate of accuracy to off-cycle individuals. This finding could

be interpreted as enhanced cognitive processing speed and may be a result of enhanced amygdala activation to all stimuli. A recent study by Ackermann et al. (2012) found testosterone levels in adult men to be predictive of amygdala activation and enhanced retrieval of both emotional and neutral stimuli, suggesting androgens assign significance broadly to stimuli.

Attentional processes. On-cycle AAS users' ability to shift attention effectively was diminished, which indicates that they tended to stick with a behavioral response pattern, even in the context of negative feedback. This perseverative responding has been shown to be mediated by testosterone in rodents (van Hest, van Haaren, & van de Poll, 1989) and linked to androgen-mediated effects on dopaminergic neurons in medial-prefrontal cortex (Kritzer, Brewer, Montalant, Davenport, & Robinson, 2007). The number of set-shifting errors was not significantly

Table 5
Regression Self-Reported Aggression and Impulsivity on Cycle Status, Age of Onset, and Their Interaction

Variable	BIS Total Score			BIS attention			BIS motor			BIS Nonplanning		
	B	SE	β	B	SE	β	B	SE	β	B	SE	β
Intercept	59.050	1.361		17.772	.489		19.475	.463		21.803	.721	
Age of onset	-1.817	.309	-.687***	-.432	.111	-.403***	-.266	.105	-.321*	-1.120	.163	-.927***
On-cycle	9.719	1.912	.406***	4.419	.687	.455***	3.231	.651	.431***	2.070	1.013	.189*
Interaction	.033	.423	.009	-.531	.152	-.360**	-.355	.144	-.311*	.919	.224	.553***
R ² _{adj}	.55			.64			.47			.40		
	BPAQ Anger			BPAQ Hostility			BPAQ Physical			BPAQ Verbal		
Intercept	15.251	.616		15.630	.683		13.855	.629		12.657	.526	
Age of onset	.040	.140	.045	-.359	.155	-.373*	-.162	.143	-.189	-.052	.119	-.064
On-cycle	1.692	.865	.208	3.226	.960	.370***	1.548	.884	.199	2.853	.739	.389***
Interaction	-.592	.191	-.480***	.246	.212	.186	-.188	.195	-.159	-.422	.163	-.379*
R ² _{adj}	.21			.15			.10			.29		
	BPAQ Total											
Intercept	57.393	1.949										
Age of onset	-.533	.442	-.184									
On-cycle	9.318	2.739	.356***									
Interaction	-.957	.605	-.241									
R ² _{adj}	.23											

Note. BIS = Barratt Impulsiveness Scale; BPAQ = Buss–Perry Aggression Questionnaire. All regression models had *df* 3,68 and included 71 male participants. Age of onset was centered (*M* = 26.35, *SD* = 9.51).

* *p* < .05. ** *p* < .01. *** *p* < .001.

different between groups, although the pattern of errors supports the general finding of set-shifting difficulties. The reduced RTs, but no difference in commission errors, observed during the SST suggests that active drug use may improve some attentional processes.

Planning and decision making. Measures of risky decision making and planning did not differ by study group. This finding is in contrast to observational data that suggest that higher circulating testosterone correlates with risky decision making in healthy males (Stanton, Liening, & Schultheiss, 2011). The observed effect may, however, be offset by differences in circulating estrogen. In an experimental study of letrozol (a potent aromatase inhibitor), risk taking under conditions of uncertainty increased among those whose estrogen levels were reduced and testosterone increased by a blockade of aromatization (Goudriaan et al., 2010). Thus, differential levels of aromatization may contribute to variability in results.

Self-Reported Aggression and Impulsivity

Impulsivity. Group differences in impulsivity indicated robust effects for both AAS cycle and age of onset, generally indicating that AASs increase attentional and behavioral impulsivity, but not planning. The latter finding is intuitive because experienced users engage in complex patterns of drug administration (Hildebrandt et al., 2007; Monaghan, 2002), which requires an intact ability to plan and control use. In contrast, adolescent-onset users plan less frequently and avoid more complex decision making, independent of cycle status. The effects of AAS on motor impulsivity indicate that both AASs and age of onset have robust associations with the tendency to act quickly and in the moment. These findings are also consistent with the animal literature (Ambar & Chiavegatto, 2009; Kindlundh, Lindblom, Bergstrom, & Nyberg, 2003) and cross-sectional data on AAS users (Bahrke et al., 2000). Attentional differences between groups indicated that adolescent-onset users experienced greater impairment in cognition on-cycle than the adults, despite AAS effects being present for both groups.

Aggression. The effects of AAS on aggression was consistent with published animal and human literatures (Trenton & Currier, 2005), although effect sizes were small to moderate. The differences in subscale effect sizes suggest that the effects of AASs are likely to be somewhat idiosyncratic, with a wide variety of non-AAS influences (Liu, Lewis, & Evans, 2013). The majority of participants in this study were middle-aged men, and we did not assess for lifetime aggressive behaviors. It is possible that AASs may have had a different impact on the expression of aggression at different developmental stages.

Study Limitations

The current study had a number of limitations, primarily associated with the small sample size, lack of control over individual exposure to AASs, and high degree of matching between groups in the subsample. Due to the small sample, even the robust effects should be interpreted with caution because of the reduced participant variability in a population typically considered to be largely heterogeneous (Hildebrandt, Alfano, & Langenbucher, 2010; Hildebrandt et al., 2007). Furthermore, the between-subjects design

did not allow us to quantify the magnitude of individual cycle effects, which would be a necessary next step in this research. Finally, it was unclear how stable these effects were across AAS cycles, so it is important for future studies to examine how cognition and behavior change over time in response to an AAS cycle, and extend these effects to female AAS users.

Conclusion

Taken together, the results from this study support a primary role of AASs in altering emotional reactivity and overall responsiveness to relevant stimuli. These results have implications for defining AAS intoxication in terms of lower thresholds for emotional reactivity, disinhibited behavior, and attention. This observation stands in contrast to the simplistic “roid rage” stereotype of intoxication associated with AAS use. These findings are also consistent with clinical and theoretical models of AAS use (Hildebrandt, Lai et al., 2011; Hildebrandt, Langenbucher, et al., 2011) that suggest that disinhibition might be a better clinical marker of intoxication than any specific mood or behavior.

The finding that exposure to AASs in adolescence may lead to greater cognitive changes associated with acute AAS use is also of significant clinical value. Adolescence is characterized by significant changes in prefrontal regulation of limbic neurocircuitry, some of which is mediated by gonadal hormones and associated androgen receptor mechanisms (Bramen et al., 2012). Flooding these receptors with excess exogenous androgens (and estrogens via the aromatization pathway) has potentially important developmental effects that will be important to study in future studies.

References

- Ackermann, S., Spalek, K., Rasch, B., Gschwind, L., Coyne, D., Fastenrath, M., . . . de Quervain, D. J. (2012). Testosterone levels in healthy men are related to amygdala reactivity and memory performance. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, *37*, 1417–1424. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2012.01.008
- Ambar, G., & Chiavegatto, S. (2009). Anabolic-androgenic steroid treatment induces behavioral disinhibition and downregulation of serotonin receptor messenger RNA in the prefrontal cortex and amygdala of male mice. *Genes, Brain and Behavior*, *8*, 161–173. doi:10.1111/j.1601-183X.2008.00458.x
- Bahrke, M. S., & Yesalis, C. E. (2004). Abuse of anabolic androgenic steroids and related substances in sport and exercise. *Current Opinion in Pharmacology*, *4*, 614–620. doi:10.1016/j.coph.2004.05.006
- Bahrke, M. S., Yesalis, C. E., Kopstein, A. N., & Stephens, J. A. (2000). Risk factors associated with anabolic-androgenic steroid use among adolescents. *Sports Medicine*, *29*, 397–405. doi:10.2165/00007256-200029060-00003
- Beaver, K. M., Vaughn, M. G., Delisi, M., & Wright, J. P. (2008). Anabolic-androgenic steroid use and involvement in violent behavior in a nationally representative sample of young adult males in the United States. *American Journal of Public Health*, *98*, 2185–2187. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2008.137018
- Bechara, A., Damasio, A. R., Damasio, H., & Anderson, S. W. (1994). Insensitivity to future consequences following damage to human prefrontal cortex. *Cognition*, *50*, 7–15. doi:10.1016/0010-0277(94)90018-3
- Bramen, J. E., Hranilovich, J. A., Dahl, R. E., Chen, J., Rosso, C., Forbes, E. E., . . . Sowell, E. R. (2012). Sex matters during adolescence: Testosterone-related cortical thickness maturation differs between boys and girls. *PLoS One*, *7*, e33850. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033850

- Buss, A. H., & Perry, M. P. (1992). The aggression questionnaire. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *63*, 452–459. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.63.3.452
- Cambridge Cognition. (2002). *Cambridge Neuropsychological Test Automated Battery (CANTAB)*. Cambridge, UK: Author.
- Cunningham, R. L., Lumia, A. R., & McGinnis, M. Y. (2013). Androgenic anabolic steroid exposure during adolescence: Ramifications for brain development and behavior. *Hormones and Behavior*, *64*, 350–356. doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2012.12.009
- Downes, J. J., Roberts, A. C., Sahakian, B. J., Evenden, J. L., Morris, R. G., & Robbins, T. W. (1989). Impaired extra-dimensional shift performance in medicated and unmedicated Parkinson's disease: evidence for a specific attentional dysfunction. *Neuropsychologia*, *27*, 1329–1343.
- Elliott, R., Rubinsztein, J. S., Sahakian, B. J., & Dolan, R. J. (2002). The neural basis of mood-congruent processing biases in depression. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, *59*, 597–604. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.59.7.597
- Evans, N. A. (2004). Current concepts in anabolic-androgenic steroids. *American Journal of Sports Medicine*, *32*, 534–542. doi:10.1177/0363546503262202
- Farrell, S. F., & McGinnis, M. Y. (2004). Long-term effects of pubertal anabolic-androgenic steroid exposure on reproductive and aggressive behaviors in male rats. *Hormones and Behavior*, *46*, 193–203. doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2004.03.012
- Fingerhood, M. I., Sullivan, J. T., Testa, M., & Jasinski, D. R. (1997). Abuse liability of testosterone. *Journal of Psychopharmacology*, *11*, 59–63. doi:10.1177/026988119701100115
- First, M. B., Spitzer, R. L., Gibbon, M., & Williams, J. B. W. (2007). *Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV-TR Axis I Disorders: Research version. Non-patient edition*. (Vol. November, 2002). New York, NY: Biometrics Research, New York State Psychiatric Institute.
- Galduroz, J. C., Noto, A. R., Nappo, S. A., & Carlini, E. A. (2005). Household survey on drug abuse in Brazil: Study involving the 107 major cities of the country—2001. *Addictive Behaviors*, *30*, 545–556. doi:10.1016/j.addbeh.2004.08.004
- Garey, J., Goodwillie, A., Frohlich, J., Morgan, M., Gustafsson, J. A., Smithies, O., . . . Pfaff, D. W. (2003). Genetic contributions to generalized arousal of brain and behavior. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *100*, 11019–11022. doi:10.1073/pnas.1633773100
- Goudriaan, A. E., Lapauw, B., Ruige, J., Feyen, E., Kaufman, J. M., Brand, M., & Vingerhoets, G. (2010). The influence of high-normal testosterone levels on risk-taking in healthy males in a 1-week letrozole administration study. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, *35*, 1416–1419. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2010.04.005
- Hakansson, A., Mickelsson, K., Wallin, C., & Berglund, M. (2012). Anabolic androgenic steroids in the general population: User characteristics and associations with substance use. *European Addiction Research*, *18*, 83–90. doi:10.1159/000333037
- Hildebrandt, T. (2012). Anabolic-androgenic steroid use and misuse. In D. W. Pfaff (Ed.), *Neuroscience in the 21st Century* (pp. 2813–2832). New York, NY: Springer.
- Hildebrandt, T., Alfano, L., & Langenbucher, J. (2010). Body image disturbance among 1000 appearance and performance enhancing drug users. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, *44*, 841–846. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychires.2010.01.001
- Hildebrandt, T., Lai, J. K., Langenbucher, J. W., Schneider, M., Yehuda, R., & Pfaff, D. W. (2011). The diagnostic dilemma of pathological appearance and performance enhancing drug use. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, *114*, 1–11. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2010.09.018
- Hildebrandt, T., Langenbucher, J. W., Carr, S. J., & Sanjuan, P. (2007). Modeling population heterogeneity in appearance- and performance-enhancing drug (APED) use: Applications of mixture modeling in 400 regular APED users. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, *116*, 717–733. doi:10.1037/0021-843X.116.4.717
- Hildebrandt, T., Langenbucher, J., Carr, S., Sanjuan, P., & Park, S. (2006). Predicting intentions for long-term anabolic-androgenic steroid use among men: A covariance structure model. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors*, *20*, 234–240. doi:10.1037/0893-164X.20.3.234
- Hildebrandt, T., Langenbucher, J. W., Lai, J. K., Loeb, K. L., & Hollander, E. (2011). Development and validation of the appearance and performance enhancing drug use schedule. *Addictive Behaviors*, *36*, 949–958. doi:10.1016/j.addbeh.2011.05.002
- Holland, J., Bandelow, S., Hogervorst, E. (2011). Testosterone levels and cognition in elderly men: A review. *Maturitas*, *69*, 322–337. doi:10.1016/j.maturitas.2011.05.012
- Johnston, L. D., O'Malley, P. M., Bachman, J. G., & Schulenberg, J. E. (2012). *Monitoring the Future national results on adolescent drug use: Overview of key findings, 2011*. Ann Arbor, MI: Institute for Social Research, The University of Michigan.
- Kanayama, G., Brower, K. J., Wood, R. I., Hudson, J. I., & Pope, H. G., Jr. (2009). Anabolic-androgenic steroid dependence: An emerging disorder. *Addiction*, *104*(12), 1966–1978. doi:10.1111/j.1360-0443.2009.02734.x
- Kanayama, G., Hudson, J. I., & Pope, H. G., Jr. (2009). Features of men with anabolic-androgenic steroid dependence: A comparison with non-dependent AAS users and with AAS nonusers. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, *102*, 130–137. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2009.02.008
- Kanayama, G., Hudson, J. I., & Pope, H. G., Jr. (2010). Illicit anabolic-androgenic steroid use. *Hormones and Behavior*, *58*, 111–121. doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2009.09.006
- Kanayama, G., Kean, J., Hudson, J. I., & Pope, H. G., Jr. (2012). Cognitive deficits in long-term anabolic-androgenic steroid users. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*. Advance online publication. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2012.11.008
- Kindlundh, A. M., Lindblom, J., Bergstrom, L., & Nyberg, F. (2003). The anabolic-androgenic steroid nandrolone induces alterations in the density of serotonergic 5HT1B and 5HT2 receptors in the male rat brain. *Neuroscience*, *119*, 113–120. doi:10.1016/S0306-4522(03)00120-9
- Kritzer, M. F., Brewer, A., Montalant, F., Davenport, M., & Robinson, J. K. (2007). Effects of gonadectomy on performance in operant tasks measuring prefrontal cortical function in adult male rats. *Hormones and Behavior*, *51*, 183–194. doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2006.07.005
- Li, C. S., Luo, X., Yan, P., Bergquist, K., & Sinha, R. (2009). Altered impulse control in alcohol dependence: Neural measures of stop signal performance. *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research*, *33*, 740–750. doi:10.1111/j.1530-0277.2008.00891.x
- Liu, J., Lewis, G., & Evans, L. (2013). Understanding aggressive behaviour across the lifespan. *Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing*, *20*, 156–168. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2850.2012.01902.x
- Matousek, R. H., & Sherwin, B. B. (2010). Sex steroid hormones and cognitive functioning in healthy, older men. *Hormones and Behavior*, *57*, 352–359. doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2010.01.004
- McGinnis, M. Y., Lumia, A. R., & Possidente, B. P. (2002). Effects of withdrawal from anabolic androgenic steroids on aggression in adult male rats. *Physiology & Behavior*, *75*, 541–549. doi:10.1016/S0031-9384(02)00657-1
- Monaghan, L. F. (2002). Vocabularies of motive for illicit steroid use among bodybuilders. *Social Science & Medicine*, *55*, 695–708. doi:10.1016/S0277-9536(01)00195-2
- Murphy, F. C., Sahakian, B. J., Rubinsztein, J. S., Michael, A., Rogers, R. D., Robbins, T. W., & Paykel, E. S. (1999). Emotional bias and inhibitory control processes in mania and depression. *Psychological Medicine*, *29*, 1307–1321. doi:10.1017/S0033291799001233
- Oberlander, J. G., & Henderson, L. P. (2012). The Sturm und Drang of anabolic steroid use: Angst, anxiety, and aggression. *Trends in Neurosciences*, *35*, 382–392. doi:10.1016/j.tins.2012.03.001

- Patton, J. H., Stanford, M. S., & Barratt, E. S. (1995). Factor structure of the Barratt Impulsiveness Scale. *Journal of Clinical Psychology, 51*, 768–774. doi:10.1002/1097-4679(199511)51:6<768::AID-JCLP2270510607>3.0.CO;2-1
- Perry, P. J., Lund, B. C., Deninger, M. J., Kutscher, E. C., & Schneider, J. (2005). Anabolic steroid use in weightlifters and bodybuilders: An internet survey of drug utilization. *Clinical Journal of Sport Medicine, 15*, 326–330. doi:10.1097/01.jsm.0000180872.22426.bb
- Pfaff, D. (2006). *Brain arousal and information theory: Neural and genetic mechanisms*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Pieretti, S., Mastroiata, M., Tucci, P., Battaglia, G., Trabace, L., Nicoletti, F., & Scaccianoce, S. (2013). Brain nerve growth factor unbalance induced by anabolic androgenic steroids in rats. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise, 45*, 29–35. doi:10.1249/MSS.0b013e31826c60ea
- Salas-Ramirez, K. Y., Montalto, P. R., & Sisk, C. L. (2008). Anabolic androgenic steroids differentially affect social behaviors in adolescent and adult male Syrian hamsters. *Hormones and Behavior, 53*, 378–385. doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2007.11.004
- Spear, L. P. (2013). Adolescent neurodevelopment. *Journal of Adolescent Health, 52*, S7–S13. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2012.05.006
- Stanton, S. J., Liening, S. H., & Schultheiss, O. C. (2011). Testosterone is positively associated with risk taking in the Iowa Gambling Task. *Hormones and Behavior, 59*, 252–256. doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2010.12.003
- Su, T. P., Pagliaro, M., Schmidt, P. J., Pickar, D., Wolkowitz, O., & Rubinow, D. R. (1993). Neuropsychiatric effects of anabolic steroids in male normal volunteers. *Journal of the American Medical Association, 269*, 2760–2764. doi:10.1001/jama.1993.03500210060032
- Tan, R. S., & Scally, M. C. (2009). Anabolic steroid-induced hypogonadism—towards a unified hypothesis of anabolic steroid action. *Medical Hypotheses, 72*, 723–728. doi:10.1016/j.mehy.2008.12.042
- Trenton, A. J., & Currier, G. W. (2005). Behavioural manifestations of anabolic steroid use. *CNS Drugs, 19*, 571–595. PMID: 15984895. doi:10.2165/00023210-200519070-00002
- United States Department of Health & Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2004, May). Youth risk behavior surveillance: United States, 2003. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report: Surveillance Summaries, 53*, 1–96. Atlanta, GA: Author.
- van den Berg, P., Neumark-Sztainer, D., Cafri, G., & Wall, M. (2007). Steroid use among adolescents: Longitudinal findings from Project EAT. *Pediatrics, 119*, 476–486. doi:10.1542/peds.2006-2529
- van Hest, A., van Haaren, F., & van de Poll, N. E. (1989). Perseverative responding in male and female Wistar rats: Effects of gonadal hormones. *Hormones and Behavior, 23*, 57–67. doi:10.1016/0018-506X(89)90074-3

Received July 26, 2013

Revision received December 26, 2013

Accepted February 24, 2014 ■

Correction to Hilderbrandt et al. (2014)

In the article, “Influence of Age of Onset and Acute Anabolic Steroid Exposure on Cognitive Performance, Impulsivity, and Aggression in Men,” by Tom Hildebrandt, James W. Langenbucher, Adrienne Flores, Seth Harty, and Heather Berlin (*Psychology of Addictive Behaviors*, Advance online publication, May 19, 2014. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0036482>), the name of author Heather Berlin omitted a middle initial in the byline and author note and should appear as Heather A. Berlin. All versions of this article have been corrected.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0038321>