Anti-Doping Disciplinary Panel

J.L.N Stadium, Gate No. 10 Hall No.103 1st Floor, Lodhi Road, New Delhi 110 003 Telefax: 011-24368274

To,

Date: 28.03.2023

Mr. Mohd Arshlan S/o Mr. Mohd Miyan VPO: Morahun Uperhar Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh

Eamil:- Mohdarslan8573986138@gmail.com

Subj: Decision of the Anti-Doping Disciplinary Panel Case No. - 251.ADDP.2022.

NADA Vs. Mr. Mohd Arshlan (ADAMS ID- MOARMA97798)

The order containing the decision of the Anti-Doping Disciplinary Panel dated 14.03.2023 in respect of final hearing of the above case held on 27.02.2023 is enclosed.

Please note that according to Article 13.2.2 of Anti-Doping Rules of NADA 2021, the time to file an appeal to the National Anti-Doping Appeal Panel shall be twenty-one (21) days from the date of receipt of this decision by the appealing party. The appeal may be filed at the abovementioned address.

Also please note that according of Article 10.7.1- (**Substantial Assistance in Discovering or Establishing Anti-Doping Rule Violations**)- Any period of Ineligibility imposed may be partially suspended if you assist NADA in uncovering and/or establishing an ADRV by another Athlete or Athlete Support Personnel pursuant to Article 10.7.1 ADR. Further, the athlete is subjected to doping control test during the ineligibility period, therefore, athlete is required to update his residential address as and when changed.

Copy of the NADA Anti-Doping Rules 2021 may be downloaded from NADA website at the following link:-www.nadaindia.org/en/anti-doping-rule-of-nada

The receipt of this communication may be acknowledged.

Encl: 03 sheets

(Yasik Arafat) Law officer

Copy forwarded together with the copy of the order containing the decision of the Anti-Doping Disciplinary Panel for information and action deemed necessary:

- 1. World Anti-Doping Agency, Stock Exchange Tower, 800 Place Victoria (Suit 1700) P. O. Box 180, Montreal (Quebec), H4Z 1B7, Canada.
- 2. Secretary General, Athletics Federation of India, A-90, Naraina Industrial Area, Phase-1, near PVR cinema, New Delhi- 110028.
- 3. International Association of Athletics Federations, 17, Rue Princesse Florestine BP 359, MC 98007, Monaco.
- 4. National Anti-Doping Agency, J.L.N Stadium, Gate No. 10 Hall No.103, Lodhi Road, New Delhi 110003

BEFORE THE ANTI DOPING DISCIPLINARY PANEL

J.L.N. Stadium, First Floor, Hall No. 103,

Lodhi Road, New Delhi -110 003

(PROCEEDINGS CONDUCTED THROUGH VIRTUAL MODE)

In the matter of Mr. Mohd Arshlan (DOB 02.03.1999) S/o Mr. Mohd Miyan R/o VPO Morahun Uperhar, Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh for violation Article 2.1 & 2.2 of National Anti-Doping Agency Anti-Doping Rules, 2021.

Quorum: Ms. Charu Pragya, ADDP

19.

Date of decision

Dr. Sanjogita Soodan Member, ADDP

Ms. Abantika Deka, Sports Member, ADDP

Present: Mr. Yasir Arafat, Law Officer, NADA

Mr. Mohd Arshlan, Athlete

1.	Event	Athletics
2.	Name of Competition	71st Inter Service Athletics Championship 2022
3.	Date of Sample Collection	09/09/2022
4.	Nature of sample	Urine
5.	Urine sample Code Number	6492759
6.	Name of Sample Witness	Dr. Stella
7.	Name of Dope Control Officer	Mr. Raja Singh
8.	Date of Result 'A' Sample testing	06.10.2022
9.	Result of 'A' sample	Adverse Analytical Finding for: S1.1 Anabolic Androgenic Steroids (AAS)
		Metandienone S1.1 Anabolic Androgenic Steroids (AAS)/ Stanazolol.
10.	Date of Initial Review	S1.1 Anabolic Androgenic Steroids (AAS)/
10. 11.	Date of Initial Review Date of Notification	S1.1 Anabolic Androgenic Steroids (AAS)/ Stanazolol.
		S1.1 Anabolic Androgenic Steroids (AAS)/ Stanazolol. 21/10/2022
11.	Date of Notification	S1.1 Anabolic Androgenic Steroids (AAS)/ Stanazolol. 21/10/2022 21/10/2022
11. 11.	Date of Notification Date of provisional suspension	S1.1 Anabolic Androgenic Steroids (AAS)/ Stanazolol. 21/10/2022 21/10/2022 21/10/2022
11.11.12.	Date of Notification Date of provisional suspension Date of Notice of Charge	S1.1 Anabolic Androgenic Steroids (AAS)/ Stanazolol. 21/10/2022 21/10/2022 21/10/2022 02/12/2022
11.11.12.13.	Date of Notification Date of provisional suspension Date of Notice of Charge Date of Result 'B' Sample testing	S1.1 Anabolic Androgenic Steroids (AAS)/ Stanazolol. 21/10/2022 21/10/2022 21/10/2022 02/12/2022 N/A

14.03.2023

Factual Background:

- 1. A urine sample ("Sample") of the athlete, Mr. Mohd Arshlan ("Athlete") was collected during 71st Inter Service Athletics Championship 2022 at Bengalore, Karnataka by the Doping Control Officer of NADA on 09th September 2022. As per procedure, the Sample was split into two separate bottles, hereinafter referred to as Sample A and Sample B with unique Code "6492759".
- 2. A Sample of the Athlete was tested at the National Dope Testing Laboratory, Delhi in accordance with the procedures set out in WADA's International Standard for Laboratories and was returned with an Adverse Analytical Finding S1.1 Anabolic Androgenic Steroids (AAS)/Metandienone & Stanozolol The WADA's 2023 Prohibited List Metandienone & Stanozolol under the category S1 being a non-specified substance.
- 3. Subsequently, the Athlete was dully notified by a letter dated 21.10.2022 ("the Notification") wherein he was notified that he has been charge for violation of Rule Article 2.1 & 2.2 of ADR. The Athlete was provisionally suspended on 21.10.2022 in accordance with the mandatory provision under Article 7.9.1 of the Rules as the prohibited substance is non-specified. In the aforesaid letter, the Athlete was also informed of his right to have his B sample specimen tested and the right to an impartial hearing by the Independent Anti-Doping Disciplinary Panel.
- 4. In response to the notification, the Athlete waived of his right to 'B' sample analysis. The Notice of Charge under the National Anti-Doping Rules, 2021 was issued to the Athlete on 02.12.2022. In response to the notice the athlete has not files written submission on record for the consideration of this Panel.

Submissions of the Athlete

5. During oral arguments, the Athlete has admitted to having taken an injection for strength/energy, as per the advice given to him by his senior.

Submissions of NADA

6. NADA submits that under Article 2.1.1 of the Rules, it is the personal duty of each Athlete to ensure that no Prohibited Substance enters his/her body. Accordingly, it is not necessary that intent, fault, negligence or knowing use on the part of the Athlete is to be demonstrated so as to establish a case of anti-doping rule violation under Article 2.1. In the said background, it is submitted by NADA that the Athlete has failed to

furnish any explanation as to how the prohibited substance came to be found in the

Sample.

7. NADA submits that the Athlete has knowingly consumed the doping substance, at the

behest of another athlete, for purposes of enhancing his performance by prohibited means.

Observations and Findings of the Panel

After hearing the parties at length and having considered all documentary and having

considered the written / oral submissions the Panel observes as under:

8. As per Article 2.1 of the Anti-Doping Rules 2022, it is the personal duty of every athlete

to ensure that no prohibited substance, as defined, enters his or her body. Reference

may also be made to Article 2.1.2 which provides that presence of a prohibited

substance or its metabolites is sufficient proof of anti-doping rule violation.

9. Where a sample testing returns a positive finding, onus is on the athlete to explain how

the substance entered his/her body. Fault, negligence or knowing use are not relevant

considerations that are needed to be proved while making a case for anti-doping

violation. The liability cast on the athlete is thus strict.

10. The Panel hold that the Athlete has committed an anti-doping rule violation. Once a

violation of anti-doping rules has been established, Sanctions on Individuals as

provided under Article 10 of the Anti-Doping Rules 2021 must ensue. The present case

involves a non-specified substance, hence the Athlete is liable for sanctions under

Article 10.2.1.1, an ineligibility for a period of 4 years.

11. The Panel holds that the Athlete is liable for sanctions under Article 10.2.1.1 for

an ineligibility for a period of 4 years. The period of his ineligibility for the period

of 4 years shall commence from the date of provisional suspension, i.e., 21.10.2022.

12. We also direct that under Article 10.10 all other competitive results obtained by

the athlete from the date of sample collection i.e., 09.09.2022 shall be disqualified

with all resulting consequences including forfeiture of medals, points, and prizes.

Dated 14.03.2023

The matter is disposed of, accordingly.

Ms. Charu Pragya (Chairman)

Chambagya

Dr. Sanjogita Soodan (Medical Member)

adam

Ms. Abantika Deka (Sports Member)